

1 John S. Delikanakis, Esq.
2 Nevada Bar No. 5928
3 Kiah D. Beverly-Graham, Esq.
4 Nevada Bar No. 11916
5 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.
6 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 510
7 Reno, Nevada 89501
8 Telephone: 775-785-5440
9 Facsimile: 775-785-5441
10 Email: jdelikanakis@swlaw.com
11 kbeverly@swlaw.com

12 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

14 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

15 WELL'S FARGO BANK, N.A., a national
16 banking association,

17 Plaintiff,

18 vs.

19 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a
20 Nevada limited-liability company;
21 HOMEOWNER ASSOCIATION SERVICES,
22 INC., a Nevada corporation; THE
23 FOOTHILLS AT MACDONALD RANCH
24 MASTER ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-
25 profit corporation;;

26 Defendants.

27 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a
28 Nevada limited liability company,

29 Counter-Claimant,

30 vs.

31 WELL'S FARGO BANK, N.A., a national
32 banking association; TRANSUNION
33 SETTLEMENT SOLUTIONS,

34 Counter-Defendant/Cross-Defendant.

35 Case No. 2:16-cv-02257-JCM-CWH

36 **STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
37 EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES
38 (FIRST REQUEST)**

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES

2 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), and Local Rules 6-1, 26-1 and 26-4, Wells Fargo Bank,
3 N.A. (“Wells Fargo”) and SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC (“SFR” and together with Wells Fargo,
4 the “Parties”), by and through their respective undersigned counsel of record, submit this
5 Stipulation and Proposed Order to extend the discovery deadlines in this action by sixty days.
6 This is the Parties’ first request for extension of the operative discovery schedule.¹

A. Discovery Completed

1. Both Parties have served document demands and written discovery;
2. SFR served answers and objections thereto;
3. Wells Fargo served its expert disclosures; and
4. The person most knowledgeable for SFR appeared for deposition.

B. Discovery that Remains to be Completed

1. Wells Fargo’s responses and objections to SFR’s requests for production and written discovery (the deadline for these responses is upcoming);
2. Deposition of the person most knowledgeable for Wells Fargo;
3. Production of documents by non-party the Foothills at MacDonald Ranch Master Association (the “HOA”); and
4. Deposition of the person most knowledgeable for the HOA.

C. Reasons Why Discovery Was Not Completed

The parties have acted diligently to complete discovery within the time provided. However, additional time is requested in good faith and for good cause for the following reasons.

First, a dispute arose between the parties over whether SFR's requests for admission and other discovery demands were within the scope of FRCP 26(b). On February 13, 2018 SFR served a detailed and expansive set of 112 requests for admission. Wells Fargo subsequently provided SFR a particularized list of its objections to these requests and the basis for a potential

¹ The parties previously submitted three different proposed Discovery Plans and Scheduling Orders. The first was denied without prejudice in light of the stay of this action. See ECF No. 52. The second was denied without prejudice for failure to include certain certifications required by local rule 26-1(b). See ECF No. 57. This is the first request for extension of an operative discovery plan.

1 motion for a protective order.

2 On March 1, 2018 the parties conducted a meet and confer to address Wells Fargo's
3 objections. The parties reached an agreement intended to obviate the need for judicial
4 intervention. Wells Fargo agreed that, rather than move for a protective order, it will serve
5 responses and objections to the requests to admit, with the intent that its responses will be used in
6 lieu of deposition. Accordingly, SFR agreed to vacate the previously noticed deposition of the
7 person most knowledgeable for Wells Fargo. SFR also agreed to withdraw an interrogatory and a
8 request for production, both of which related to the RFAs, and which also sought a substantial
9 amount of information in light of the number of RFAs.

10 In order to answer the expansive set of requests for admission, the parties further agreed
11 that Wells Fargo's deadline to respond to SFR's discovery demands should be extended by thirty
12 days from March 19, 2018 to April 16, 2018 and that SFR should be provided sufficient time
13 thereafter to conduct a limited deposition of Wells Fargo's person most knowledgeable if
14 necessary. The foregoing necessitates the extension requested herein.

15 Second, though Wells Fargo timely served subpoenas upon the non-party HOA for the
16 production of documents and attendance at deposition, the HOA has yet to comply with either
17 subpoena. Wells Fargo has engaged in continued discussions with counsel for the HOA and
18 believes the documents and deposition testimony will be obtained without the intervention of the
19 Court. However, counsel for the HOA advised Wells Fargo that it expects the document
20 production will be extensive. Accordingly, additional time beyond the existing discovery cut-off
21 is required for Wells Fargo to obtain the requested documents and then prepare for, and conduct,
22 the deposition of the HOA.

23 Finally, the parties recognize that this request is made less than 21 days before the existing
24 discovery cut-off date of March 22, 2018 and respectfully submit that the failure to make this
25 request earlier was the result of excusable neglect. Specifically, as stated above, Wells Fargo
26 originally believed it would be required to make a motion for a protective order, within the
27 existing deadline, with respect to SFR's discovery demands. The issues presented by these
28 demands were multi-faceted and required time to be researched and addressed in writing to SFR.

1 The parties promptly held a meet and confer thereafter and reached the resolution described
2 above. Further, Wells Fargo has diligently attempted to obtain discovery from the HOA but, as of
3 yet, has been unable to complete this process as described above. Finally, the Parties note that no
4 party will be prejudiced by the requested extension.

5 **D. Proposed Schedule for Remaining Discovery**

<u>EVENT</u>	<u>EXISTING DEADLINE</u>	<u>NEW DEADLINE</u>
Discovery cut-off	March 22, 2018	May 22, 2018
Dispositive motions	April 23, 2018	June 23, 2018
Pre-trial order and FRCP	May 23, 2018	July 23, 2018
26(a)(3) disclosures		

12 Dated: March 8, 2018.

13
14 **KIM GILBERT EBRON**

15 By: /s/ Diana Cline Ebron
16 Jacqueline A. Gilbert, Esq.
17 Nevada Bar No. 10593
18 Diana Cline Ebron, Esq.
19 Nevada Bar No. 10580
20 Karen L. Hanks, Esq.
21 Nevada Bar No. 9578
22 7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 110
23 Las Vegas, Nevada 89139
24 *Attorneys for Defendant*
25 *SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC*

Dated: March 8, 2018.

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

By: /s/ Kiah D. Beverly-Graham
John S. Delikanakis, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 5928
Kiah D. Beverly-Graham, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 11916
50 West Liberty Street, Suite 510
Reno, Nevada 89501
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.

26 **ORDER**

27 IT IS SO ORDERED:
28


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: March 9, 2018

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 8, 2018, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court for the U.S. District Court, District of Nevada by using the Court's CM/ECF system. Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the CM/ECF system.

/s/ Sheri Quigley
An Employee of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.

Snell & Wilmer LLP — **LAW OFFICES**
50 West Liberty Street, Suite 510
Reno, Nevada 89501
775-785-5440